

Fracture Liaison Service (FLS)

The effective model of care to close the care gap



Interventions to improve osteoporosis investigation and treatment

- Sale et al, systematic review 2011
- 57 studies looking at care provided at 6 months after fragility fracture
- BMD testing and medication starts



Conclusions

- Education-based interventions improved rates of BMD testing, but limited success improving rates of treatment
- Patient education alone does not improve treatment rates



Conclusions

- All outcomes higher for interventions with dedicated personnel and those with BMD testing and/or treatment included in intervention
- Optimal levels of investigation and care for different patient groups must be defined

Osteoporosis Canada

Ostéoporose Canada •



Models of care for secondary fracture prevention

- Ganda et al-systematic review and metaanalysis 2013
- 42 articles
- Types of models
 - 3i- identification, investigation, initiate treatment
 - 2i-identification, investigation
 - 1i-identification
 - 0i- patient education only



Conclusions

- 3i and 2i models cost effective
- Some 3i models showed significant decreases in re-fracture rates
- Fully coordinated, intensive models were more effective than approaches using alerts or education alone.



Osteoporosis Canada

Ostéoporose Canada

0

.



A Fracture Liaison Service (FLS) is a specific system-based model of care for secondary fracture prevention where a dedicated coordinator:

1i	Identification	 systematically and proactively identifies patients aged 50 years and older presenting to a hospital with a new fragility fracture and/or with a newly reported vertebral fracture;
2i	Investigation	 organizes appropriate investigations to determine the patient's fracture risk;
3i	Initiation	 facilitates the initiation of appropriate osteoporosis medications.



FLS in UK – efficacy of program

- *Before FLS:* <10% of fracture patients referred for DXA and further evaluation.
- FLS in 2000:

Non-FLS center

Hip fracture cases assessed and/or treated: 25%
Wrist fracture cases assessed and/or treated: 21%

FLS center

Hip fracture cases assessed and/or treated: 97%
Wrist fracture cases assessed and/or treated: 95%

McLellan AR, Gallacher SJ, Fraser M, McQuillian C. Osteoporos Int 2003; 14:1028-1034.

McLellan AR, Reid DM, Forbes K, et al. NHS Quality Improvement Scotland. Effectiveness of Strategies for the Secondary Prevention of Osteoporotic Fractures in Scotland. 2004. http://healthcareimprovementscotland.org/previous_resources/audit_report/osteoporotic_fractures_audit.aspx



Real world changes in hip fracture rates between 1999 and 2010

UK (37% of localitiesGlasgow (full FLSoperate an FLS)service since 1999)

1/1/0

Universal access to FLS could be provided across the UK for just 0.6% of the annual cost of hip fracture to the UK economy.

Cooper C et al, Osteoporosis International, 2011



Osteoporosis Canada





3i FLS in Australia

• Re-fracture rates after 4 years:

Control group 19.7%

FLS group 4.1%

Lih A, Nandapalan H, Kim M et al, Osteoporos Int 2011; 22(3): 849-858



Osteoporosis Canada





8 Essential Elements of FLS

- Dedicated coordinator (1)
- Pro-active case finding, ortho services (2)
- Needs to be the right fractures (3)
- Needs to get to at least 2i (4) + use fracture risk prediction tool that works (5)
- Need to start or recommend Rx (6)
- Need to communicate with family doc (7)
- Need to monitor outcomes (8)

Breaking the Cycle of Recurrent Fracture in BC

Implementation of a Fracture Liaison Service in British Columbia, Canada

Sonia Singh, MD, MHSc, Medical Director Research, Fraser Health Authority Dept Family Practice, University of British Columbia







Fraser Health Authority –BC

Health care for 1.7 million people and in 2011/2012

- * Patients with new hip fractures: 1266
- * Total cost of osteoporosis related fractures: \$92,233,976 for hospital, MSP and pharmacare

BC MEDICAL SERVICE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS, MEDICAL SERVICES AND HEALTH HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION (MSD), Population Health BC

Why the big care gap?

- * Emergency staff have little time for preventative care
- * Orthopedics surgeons busy fixing the fracture
- * Family physicians do not see the patients early on
- * Allied health busy with the rehab
- Patients themselves are more focussed on recovery from fracture

Barriers to addressing care gap

- Lack of access to BMD testing
- Lack of access to osteoporosis medications
- * Perceptions in our province
 - * medications are not effective
 - * fall prevention/exercise will prevent all fractures

Opportunities

Opportunities

straight ahead

- Number of local secondary fracture prevention initiatives
- Interested endocrinologists prepared to follow-up patients
- Supportive orthopedic surgeons already referring patients
- * MOH investment in falls prevention

Timeline



USA- Kaiser Permanente California Canada -Ontario Osteoporosis Strategy UK -Oxfordshire New Zealand -Paul Mitchell Osteoporosis Canada –FLS toolkit

Secondary Fracture Prevention Team

- Kathleen Friesen
- * Fabio Feldman
- * Ann Davidson
- * Valerie MacDonald
- * Kerstin Gustafson
- * Ashdin Tavaria
- * Sarah Metcalfe
- * Dana Hayward

- * David Whitehurst
- Larry Funnell
- * Vicky Scott
- * Liz DaSilva
- * Ming Leung
- * Jodi Koertje
- * Supna Sandhu
- * Nancy Parmar
- * Jamie Dunwoody

Project Coordinators: Ashley Tisseur, Gabrielle Napoleone, Amandeep Gill

Partnerships

Fraser Health Leads

 Orthopedics
 Home Health
 Emergency
 Older Adult
 Primary Care / Divisions
 Information Management
 Public Health
 Health economics
 Nutrition /pharmacy

- Centre for Hip Health and Mobility
- * BC Osteoporosis Clinic
- * Ministry of Health
- * Osteoporosis Canada
- * Patient Representatives

FLS Toolkit and Appendices



3i -FLS integrated into Orthopedic Outpatient Care

- * Peace Arch Hospital, White Rock, BC
- * FLS nurse practitioner Nancy Parmar: 0.6 FTE
- * Identification- Screening using cast clinic lists
 - * Hip, femur, pelvis, humerus, wrist, spine
 - * Over age 50, low trauma



FLS CARE at PAH – Feb 25, 2015

- * FLS coordinator will link up with you while you are at the cast clinic:
- * Talk to you about risk of another fracture
- Order tests: BMD,X-rays of spine, blood tests
- Assessment of future fracture risk(FRAX)
- Assess risk of falling





FLS CARE

Education =



I-Connect Centre next to hospital

Osteoporosis Canada Patient Volunteers

FLS CARE

Treatment:

Referrals and recommendations





Medication for high risk

Connect with primary health provider Connect with specialist

How well did it work?

- * Study pre and post FLS implementation
 - * New BMD study ordered
 - * Composite outcome of "appropriate care" in high risk:
 - Already on medication, consultation to change treatment
 OR
 - * Started on osteoporosis medication
 - OR
 - * Referred to specialist because of complex issues

Results-Oct 27, 2014- Jan 28, 2016



198 patients presenting with low trauma fractures



209 fractures in 198 patients

Types of Fractures



Bone Mineral Density Testing



Risk of Future Fracture



FRAX with or without BMD

Appropriate treatment in High Risk Patients

Chi-Squared P<0.001

22% achieved



Usual Care (8/36)

FLS program (41/54)



Home safety check list Your guide to independent living

FLS program patients



No patients in the control group referred for falls prevention

Patient Experience

- * 50 respondents:
- 84% believed that their understanding of osteoporosis and bone health had improved
- * 76% rated the help they received as 7/10 or better
- * 82% rated their experience as 7/10 or greater. (30% rated it a 10/10!)

Keys to success

- * Full support of Ortho Surgeons and cast technician
- * Integrated FLS directly into the clinic
- * Patients think it is all part of ortho visit

The Fracture Liaison service has been an invaluable addition to patient care in the fracture clinic. This much needed service fills a gap in osteoporosis management



Keys to success

- * Stakeholder engagement
 - * Specialized seniors clinic staff
 - * ER staff
 - * Family Physicians-Division



Can Stock Photo - csp12178211

Key to success

- * Engaging osteoporosis patients on the team
- * Provide their "real life experience"
- More meaningful impact when approaching decision makers

"Appreciate thoroughness of bone assessment, education package, time that Nancy spent with me and my family to answer all our questions."

Challenges: Need timely BMD tests

- * When we started-3-6 month wait
- * Negotiated with BMD site-1-2 months
- * FLS coordinator could book dates and times
- 80% of BMD studies ordered were completed even though testing site 30 minute drive

Challenges: FLS costs \$

- * Osteoporosis specialist cannot implement a FLS program on their own!
- Decision makers policy makers key partners right from the beginning
- * Challenge is that they change! And partnerships need to start all over again.

Keys to success-Tie into Health Priorities

- Capacity for care across all sectors
 - Reducing LOS by reducing hip fracture admissions
 - Better coordination for care between hospital and community-based care
- * Quality and safety
 - Providing best quality of care for secondary fracture prevention (FLS quality standards)
- * Patient centred-ness
 - Bringing appropriate osteoporosis management at the point of fracture care

Next Steps Where do we go from here?

- Strengthen linkage with GPs-long term adherence an issue, not renewing medications
- * EMR system to embed FLS and track outcomes
- * Challenge of time for FLS coordinator in a 3i system

Next Steps Where do we go from here?

- Sustained at one site.
- Looking for opportunities for a stepwise rollout of FLS in Fraser Health
- * Developing a guide to FLS tailored to BC